

ON HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH CLUSTER SETS OF FINITE LINEAR MEASURE

Josip Globevnik and David Kalaj

Abstract We prove that if f is a holomorphic function on the open unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$ whose cluster set $C(f)$ has finite linear measure and is such that $\mathbb{C} \setminus C(f)$ has finitely many components, then the derivative f' belongs to the Hardy space H^1 .

1. Introduction and the result

Let f be a complex valued function defined on the open unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$. The *cluster set* $C(f)$ of f is defined as the set of all $w \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(z_n)$ where $z_n \in \Delta$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |z_n| = 1$. If f is bounded and continuous then $C(f)$ is a compact connected set.

If $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ then the *linear measure* $\Lambda(E)$ of E is the one dimensional Hausdorff measure of E , that is,

$$\Lambda(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{(D_n)} \sum_n \text{diam} D_n$$

where the infimum is taken over all systems of discs with $\text{diam} D_n < \varepsilon$ that cover E . If E is a rectifiable Jordan arc then $\Lambda(E)$ equals the arclength of E .

Let f be a nonconstant holomorphic function on Δ .

Theorem 1.1 [D, p.42] *The function f extends continuously through $\overline{\Delta}$ and f is absolutely continuous on $b\Delta$ if and only if f' belongs to Hardy space H^1 , that is, if and only if*

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta < \infty.$$

This happens if f is of bounded variation on $b\Delta$.

A special case when this happens is

Theorem 1.2 [P2, p.320] *Let f be a biholomorphic map from Δ onto a domain D . Then $f' \in H^1$ if and only if $\Lambda(bD) < \infty$. In particular, if D is bounded by a rectifiable simple closed curve then $f' \in H^1$.*

We want to find more general conditions for a holomorphic function f on Δ which imply that $f' \in H^1$. Looking at Theorem 1.2 it is a natural question whether for a holomorphic function f on Δ the assumption $\Lambda(C(f)) < \infty$ implies that $f' \in H^1$. In the case when $\Lambda(C(f)) < \infty$ we have the following result of H. Alexander and Ch. Pommerenke

Theorem 1.3 [A, P1] *Let f be a holomorphic function on Δ such that $\Lambda(C(f)) < \infty$. Then f extends continuously through $\overline{\Delta}$.*

However, as shown by D. Gnuschke-Hauschild [G-H, p.592], the condition that $\Lambda(C(f)) < \infty$ does not necessarily imply that $f' \in H^1$.

If f is a nonconstant holomorphic function on Δ then $f(\Delta)$ is a nonempty open set; if $\Lambda(C(f)) < \infty$ then $C(f)$ is a compact connected set with empty interior so $\mathbb{C} \setminus C(f)$ is an open set having at least one bounded component. In the example of Gnuschke-Hauschild $\mathbb{C} \setminus C(f)$ has infinitely many components. The principal result of the present paper is that the situation is different when $\mathbb{C} \setminus C(f)$ has only finitely many components:

Theorem 1.4 *Let f be a holomorphic function on Δ such that $\Lambda(C(f)) < \infty$ and such that $\mathbb{C} \setminus C(f)$ has finitely many components. Then $f' \in H^1$.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1.4, Part 1

Let f be as in Theorem 1.4. With no loss of generality assume that f is not a constant. By Theorem 1.3, f extends continuously through $\overline{\Delta}$. We denote the extension with the same letter f . By Theorem 1.1 we have to show that there is a constant $M < \infty$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f(e^{i\theta_j}) - f(e^{i\theta_{j-1}})| < M$$

whenever $0 \leq \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_\ell \leq 2\pi$.

As Gnuschke-Hauschild did in [G-H] we decompose Δ into subsets on which f has simpler behavior. If V is a component of the open set $f(\Delta) \setminus C(f)$ then V is an open connected set whose boundary is contained in $C(f) = f(b\Delta)$ and hence it is a component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus C(f)$. By our assumption $\mathbb{C} \setminus C(f)$ has finitely many components so it follows that $f(\Delta) \setminus C(f)$ has finitely many components. Denote these components by $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m$. Since $C(f)$ is connected the domains U_j are simply connected.

The set $E = (f|_{\Delta})^{-1}(C(f)) = \{z \in \Delta : f(z) \in C(f)\} = \{z \in \Delta : f(z) \in f(b\Delta)\}$ is a closed subset of Δ . Let G_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, be the components of the open set $\Delta \setminus E$. Then, as shown in [G-H], each domain G_k is simply connected and $f|_{G_k}$ equals one of the domains $U_1, \dots, U_m$, moreover $f|_{G_k} : G_k \rightarrow f(G_k)$ is a proper map, that is, if $\varphi_k : \Delta \rightarrow G_k$ and $\psi_k : \Delta \rightarrow f(G_k)$ are biholomorphic maps then $g_k = \psi_k^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi_k$ is a proper holomorphic map from Δ to Δ , that is, a finite Blaschke product whose multiplicity we denote by ν_k .

We show that the number of components G_k is finite. To see this, assume the opposite, that there are one of the components U among $U_1, \dots, U_m$ and a sequence of components G_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(G_k) = U$. Let $w \in U$. Clearly $w \notin C(f)$. For each k there is a $z_k \in G_k$ such that $f(z_k) = w$. Since G_k are pairwise disjoint, z_k is an injective sequence. We claim that $|z_k| \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. If not, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $z_k \rightarrow z \in \Delta$ and thus $f(z_k) = w$ for all k which is not possible since f is nonconstant. Thus, $|z_k| \rightarrow 1$. But since $f(z_k) = w$ for all k it follows that $w \in C(f)$, a contradiction. Thus, the number of components G_k is finite, denote them by $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$. Obviously $n \geq m$.

The cluster set $C(f)$ is a plane continuum. Since $\Lambda(C(f)) < \infty$ it follows that $C(f)$ is locally connected [CC, Lemma 2, p.49]. Since $\mathfrak{C} \setminus C(f)$ has finitely many components, Thorhorst's theorem [CC, p.44, W, p.113] implies that each component of $\mathfrak{C} \setminus C(f)$ has locally connected boundary. Thus, bU_j is locally connected for each j , $1 \leq j \leq m$.

For each G_j there is some U_k such that $f(G_j) = U_k$ and such that $f|_{G_j}: G_j \rightarrow U_k$ is a proper map. Since bU_k is locally connected, [CC, Lemma 1, p.46] implies that bG_j is locally connected. Thus, for each j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, the domain G_j is simply connected and has locally connected boundary.

For each j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $\psi_j: \Delta \rightarrow G_j$ be a biholomorphic map. Since bG_j is locally connected, the map ψ_j extends continuously through $\overline{\Delta}$ and $\psi_j(b\Delta) = bG_j$ [P2, p. 279]. In particular, for each $a, b \in bG_j$, $a \neq b$, there is an arc L , contained in G_j except for its endpoints a and b .

Notice that the set $E = (f|_{\Delta})^{-1}(C(f))$ has no interior: If E contains a nonempty open set then, since f is open, $f(E)$ contains a nonempty open set. Since $f(E) \subset C(f)$ this is not possible since $C(f)$, being of finite linear measure, has no interior. Since E has no interior and since $E \cup [\cup_{j=1}^n G_j] = \Delta$ it follows that $\cup_{j=1}^n G_j$ is dense in Δ . Thus, $\cup_{j=1}^n \overline{G_j} = \overline{\Delta}$ so $b\Delta \subset \cup_{j=1}^n bG_j$.

3. Some estimates

Assume that D is a domain such that $\Lambda(bD) < \infty$ and that $\Phi: \Delta \rightarrow D$ is a biholomorphic map. We know that Φ extends continuously through $\overline{\Delta}$. The proof of [Pom., Th.10.1, p.321] shows that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq \pi \Lambda(bD) \quad (0 < r < 1). \quad (3.1)$$

Since $r \int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta$ equals the length of the curve $\{\theta \mapsto \Phi(re^{i\theta}): 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ it follows that given θ_j ,

$$\theta_0 < \theta_1 < \cdots < \theta_\ell \leq \theta_0 + 2\pi \quad (3.2)$$

we have $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |\Phi(re^{i\theta_j}) - \Phi(re^{i\theta_{j-1}})| \leq r \int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq r\pi\Lambda(bD)$, which, as $r \rightarrow 1$, gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |\Phi(e^{i\theta_j}) - \Phi(e^{i\theta_{j-1}})| \leq \pi\Lambda(bD) \quad (3.3)$$

whenever θ_j satisfy (3.2).

Assume now that D is a simply connected domain with $\Lambda(bD) < \infty$ and that $g: \Delta \rightarrow D$ is a proper holomorphic map. If $\Phi: \Delta \rightarrow D$ is a biholomorphic map then $\Phi^{-1} \circ g$ is a proper holomorphic map from Δ to Δ , a finite Blaschke product B , so $g = \Phi \circ B$ where the multiplicity of the map g equals the multiplicity of B .

If ν is the multiplicity of B then, as ζ runs around $b\Delta$ once, $B(\zeta)$ runs around $b\Delta$ ν times so if θ_j satisfy (3.2) then there are τ_j , $\tau_0 < \tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_\ell \leq \tau_0 + \nu 2\pi$ such that $B(e^{i\theta_j}) = e^{i\tau_j}$ ($1 \leq j \leq \ell$). The preceding discussion now implies that $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |g(e^{i\theta_j}) - g(e^{i\theta_{j-1}})| = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |\Phi(e^{i\tau_j}) - \Phi(e^{i\tau_{j-1}})| \leq \nu\pi\Lambda(bD)$. This gives

Proposition 3.1 *Let D be a simply connected domain such that $\Lambda(bD) < \infty$ and let $g: \Delta \rightarrow D$ be a proper holomorphic map of multiplicity ν . Then g extends continuously through $\overline{\Delta}$. If $\theta_0 < \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_\ell \leq \theta_0 + 2\pi$ then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |g(e^{i\theta_j}) - g(e^{i\theta_{j-1}})| \leq \nu\pi\Lambda(bD)$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.4, Part 2

We shall show that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} b\Delta \text{ can be written as a finite union of pairwise disjoint semiopen arcs} \\ L_1, L_2, \dots, L_\mu, \text{ each being of the form } \{e^{i\theta}: \alpha \leq \theta < \beta\} \text{ such that each} \\ L_k \text{ is contained in } bG_\sigma \text{ for some } \sigma, 1 \leq \sigma \leq n. \end{array} \right\} \quad (4.1)$$

Assume for a moment that we have done this. With no loss of generality assume that the initial point $e^{i\alpha}$ of L_1 is 1.

Let A_j , $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, be points on $b\Delta$. We say that the ν -tuple $(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_\nu)$ is *ordered positively* if $A_j = e^{i\theta_j}$ where $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_\nu < \theta_1 + 2\pi$.

To proceed we need the following

Proposition 4.1 *Let $G \subset \Delta$ be a simply connected domain with locally connected boundary and let $\Phi: \Delta \rightarrow G$ be a biholomorphic map (that extends continuously through $\overline{\Delta}$ as bG is locally connected). Suppose that L is a closed arc in $b\Delta$ such that $L \subset bG$ and assume that $A_j \in L$, $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, are such that the ν -tuple $(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_\nu)$ is ordered positively. For each j , $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, let $a_j \in b\Delta$ be such that $\Phi(a_j) = A_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq \nu$). Then the ν -tuple $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\nu)$ is ordered positively.*

Remark. Note that we do not assume that $\text{Int}L$ is an open subset of bG . Note also that since $\Phi(b\Delta) = bG$ it follows that for each j , $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, there is an $a_j \in b\Delta$ which satisfies $\Phi(a_j) = A_j$. Note that this a_j is not necessarily unique.

Proof. It is enough to prove the special case of Proposition 4.1 when $\nu = 3$ as the general case will then follow by using this special case inductively. So let $\nu = 3$ and let A_j , a_j , $1 \leq j \leq 3$, be as in Proposition 4.1. Let $\lambda \subset b\Delta$ be the arc obtained by sliding a_1 to a_3 along $b\Delta$ in positive direction, that is, if $a_1 = e^{i\omega_1}$ and $a_3 = e^{i\omega_3}$ where $\omega_1 < \omega_3 < \omega_1 + 2\pi$, then $\lambda = \{e^{i\omega}: \omega_1 < \omega < \omega_3\}$. To see that (a_1, a_2, a_3) is ordered positively we must show that $a_2 \in \lambda$.

Let ℓ be the arc in Δ consisting of the segment joining a_3 with 0 and the segment joining 0 with a_1 and let Ω be the domain bounded by $\lambda \cup \ell$. Orient $b\Omega = \lambda \cup \ell$ in the positive direction. In particular, ℓ has a_3 as the initial point and a_1 as the final point. The arc $\mathcal{L} = \Phi(\ell)$ is contained in Δ except for its endpoints $A_3 = \Phi(a_3)$ and $A_1 = \Phi(a_1)$; we keep the orientation from ℓ so A_3 is the initial point and A_1 is the final point of $\mathcal{L}$.

Since the endpoints of $\mathcal{L}$ belong to $b\Delta$, $\Delta \setminus \mathcal{L}$ has two components D_1 and D_2 where D_1 is bounded by $\mathcal{L}$ and by the arc $\Sigma \subset b\Delta$ obtained by sliding A_1 to A_3 along $b\Delta$ in positive

direction. The arc Σ oriented in this direction together with the arc $\mathcal{L}$ oriented as above form the positively oriented boundary of D_1 . Since $\Phi: \Delta \rightarrow \Phi(\Delta) = G$ is a biholomorphic map, $\Phi(\Omega)$ must be contained either in D_1 or in D_2 . Along ℓ , Ω lies to the left of ℓ with respect to the above orientation of ℓ and since Φ is conformal it follows that along $\mathcal{L}$, $\Phi(\Omega)$ lies to the left of L . This implies that $\Phi(\Omega) \subset D_1$. If $a_2 \in b\Delta \setminus \lambda$ then a_2 is in the closure of $\Delta \setminus \Omega$, which, by the continuity of Φ on $\overline{\Delta}$, implies that $\Phi(a_2) = A_2$ is in the closure of D_2 which is impossible since A_2 is an interior point of Σ . This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Recall that for each σ , $1 \leq \sigma \leq n$, ν_σ is the multiplicity of the proper map $f|_{G_\sigma}: G_\sigma \rightarrow f(G_\sigma)$. Let $V = \max_{1 \leq \sigma \leq n} \nu_\sigma$.

Now, let

$$0 \leq \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_\ell \leq 2\pi. \quad (4.2)$$

Fix k , $1 \leq k \leq \mu$, and consider those points $e^{i\theta_j}$ that belong to L_k , suppose that these points are $e^{i\theta_s}, e^{i\theta_{s+1}}, \dots, e^{i\theta_t}$. Let σ , $1 \leq \sigma \leq n$, be such that $L_k \subset bG_\sigma$. By Proposition 4.1 there are ω_j , $\omega_s < \omega_{s+1} < \dots < \omega_t < \omega_s + 2\pi$, such that

$$\psi_\sigma(e^{i\omega_p}) = e^{i\theta_p} \quad (s \leq p \leq t)$$

and hence by Proposition 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=s+1}^t |f(e^{i\theta_j}) - f(e^{i\theta_{j-1}})| &= \sum_{j=s+1}^t |f(\psi_\sigma(e^{i\omega_j})) - f(\psi_\sigma(e^{i\omega_{j-1}}))| \leq \\ &\leq \nu_\sigma \pi \Lambda(b(f(G_\sigma))) \leq V \pi \Lambda(C(f)). \end{aligned}$$

We repeat this for every k , $1 \leq k \leq \mu$. In the sum

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f(e^{i\theta_j}) - f(e^{i\theta_{j-1}})| \quad (4.3)$$

there are terms as above whose total sum does not exceed $\mu V \pi \Lambda(C(f))$. However, in the sum (4.3) there are also terms $|f(e^{i\theta_j}) - f(e^{i\theta_{j-1}})|$ where $e^{i\theta_{j-1}}$ is the last point in L_k and $e^{i\theta_j}$ is the first point in L_{k+1} . Each such term does not exceed $\text{diam}(C(f))$ and there are $\mu - 1$ such terms. Thus, the sum (4.3) is bounded above by $\mu V \pi (\Lambda(C(f)) + (\mu - 1) \text{diam} C(f))$ whenever θ_j satisfy (4.2). Consequently, $f|_{(b\Delta)}$ has bounded variation which was to be proved.

It remains to prove (4.1).

5. Proof of Theorem 1.4, Part 3

We now want to study how domains G_j "touch" $b\Delta$, that is we want to look at $(b\Delta) \cap \overline{G_j} = (b\Delta) \cap bG_j$. Fix j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. Consider the set $\Sigma = \overline{G_j} \cap b\Delta = (bG_j) \cap b\Delta$. This is a closed subset of $b\Delta$. Its complement $(b\Delta) \setminus \Sigma$ is an open subset of $b\Delta$. We show that it has finitely many components. To see this, let $\lambda \subset b\Delta$ be a component of $b\Delta \setminus \Sigma$

Thus, λ is an open arc on $b\Delta$ disjoint from $\overline{G_j}$ whose endpoints belong to $\overline{G_j}$. As observed in Section 2 there is an arc L contained in G_j except for its endpoints which coincide with endpoints of λ . Since $b\Delta = (\cup_{i=1}^n bG_i) \cap b\Delta$ it follows that there are a k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, $k \neq j$, and a point $a \in \lambda \cap (bG_k)$. Notice that bG_k meets no other component of $(b\Delta) \setminus \Sigma$. Indeed, if it does, there is an arc L_1 contained in G_k except its endpoints a and $b \in \lambda_1$ where λ_1 is a component of $b\Delta \setminus \Sigma$ different from λ . However, such an L_1 would have to intersect L which is impossible since $L \subset G_j$ and $L_1 \subset G_k$ and $G_j \cap G_k = \emptyset$. Thus, for each component λ of $b\Delta \setminus \Sigma$ there is a G_k such that $\lambda \cap bG_k \neq \emptyset$ and such that bG_k meets no other component of $b\Delta \setminus \Sigma$. Obviously all domains G_k so obtained are pairwise disjoint. Since there are only n of them it follows that $b\Delta \setminus \Sigma$ has finitely many components.

Thus, for each j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, the set $bG_j \cap b\Delta$, if nonempty is a union of finitely many pairwise disjoint closed sets each of which is either a point or a closed arc. (The only trivial noninteresting exception is when there is just one such G_j , $G_1 = \Delta$ when $bG_1 = b\Delta$.) Since $\cup_{j=1}^n (bG_j \cap b\Delta) = b\Delta$ it follows that the family of all closed arcs so obtained for all j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, covers $b\Delta$. It is easy to see that this implies (4.1). The proof of Theorem 1.4 is complete.

References

- [A] H. Alexander: Polynomial hulls and linear measure. Complex analysis, II (College Park, Md., 1985/86), 1-11, Lecture Notes in Math., 1276, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [CC] J. J. Carmona and J. Cufi: On analytic functions with locally connected cluster sets. Complex Variables Theory Appl. 10 (1988), no. 1, 43-52.
- [G-H] D. Gnuschke-Hauschild: On the angular derivative of analytic functions. Math. Z. 196 (1987), no. 4, 591-601.
- [D] P. L. Duren: *Theory of H^p spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 38 Academic Press, New York-London 1970
- [P1] Ch. Pommerenke: On analytic functions with cluster sets of finite linear measure. Michigan Math. J. 34 (1987), no. 1, 93-97
- [P2] Ch. Pommerenke: *Univalent functions. With a chapter on quadratic differentials by Gerd Jensen*. Studia Mathematica/Mathematische Lehrbcher, Band XXV. Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Goettingen, 1975
- [W] G. T. Whyburn: *Analytic topology*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXVIII American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1963

Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics and
Department of Mathematics, University of Ljubljana
Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia (Globevnik)

Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Montenegro
Cetinjski put b.b., 81000 Podgorica, Montenegro (Kalaj)

